



WorleyParsons

INTRODUCTION

- This project was undertaken by the Sydney Coastal Councils Group
- Funding provided by the Commonwealth Department of Climate Change and Energy Efficiency (DCCEE) through a Climate Adaptation Pathways (CAP) grant.
- The project was overseen by a National Reference Group comprising expertise from local government, state government, universities with coastal management expertise and industry specialists

EcoNomics

WorleyParsons

Key elements of the project :

Literature review of existing seawall types, remote sensing techniques, options for upgrading, certification requirements – WRL UNSW

INTRODUCTION

- Geotechnical assessment of structure types and common failure modes - WorleyParsons.
- Economic aspects of the decision making process Bond University under the direction of the Centre for Coastal Management (CCM) at Griffith University (GU).
- Field assessment utilised Ground Penetrating radar and air jetting to gain information on the structure of a buried seawall without disturbing the overlying dune and vegetation - UNSW.
 - Three case studies: an open coast and an estuary seawall (WRL UNSW) and the current Gold Coast seawall (CCM GU).

Worley Parsons

INTRODUCTION

- This paper deals with geotechnical issues
- Key indicators for in/appropriate structures
- Describes the function of a seawall/revetment
- Identifies primary failure modes and risks
- Identifyies geotechnical issues of stability and how these may change with climate change
- A pro forma checklist for key data that may be collected and added to an asset management system over time

EcoNomics

WorleyParsons

FUNCTIONS OF SEAWALLS/REVETMENTS

- ► A seawall:
 - Is a near vertical structure that retains the ground landward of the structure
- A revetment:
 - protects a stable slope from wave or current erosion or from wave inundation





WorleyParsons

FUNCTIONS OF SEAWALLS/REVETMENTS

- Seawalls/revetments are located in a harsh environment:
 - breaking waves
 - relentless rise and fall of the tide
 - corrosive nature of seawater and salt spray
- ► Loadings are difficult to define:
 - random in nature
 - often exceeded over the design life
 - Seawalls must be designed with maintenance in mind and with particular consideration given to the robustness of their fabric Economics

Preserve Descriptionmassive or lightweight rigid or flexible vertical or sloping may comprise a wide range of materials including concrete, steel, timber, plastic, rock, stone-filled wire baskets and sand-filled geotextile bags

EcoNomics























































WorleyParsons

CLIMATE CHANGE IMPACTS

Climate Change Variables

- Key climate change variables are mean sea level and wave climate (Guidelines for Responding to the Effects of Climate Change in Coastal and Ocean Engineering, NCCOE, 2011).
- Changes to mean sea level can result in changes to bed levels, water depths, the incident wave climate and ground water levels.
- I m by 2100 would be significant in most locations
- A sea level rise is likely to:
 - increase nearshore wave heights (increasing nearshore water depths)
 - decrease freeboard on the crest levels thereby increasing the risk of wave overtopping
- > Ground water levels also would rise commensurate with the sea level rise.
- Changes to the offshore wave climate can affect beach alignments, nearshore wave conditions and, hence, scour levels and wave impact forces.
 EccNomics

Worley Parsons

CLIMATE CHANGE IMPACTS

Effects of Climate Change

- The width of the beach berm fronting a promenade seawall would reduce.
- Increase in the frequency of wave impact onto seawall structures.
- Increasing incident wave heights as water depths increase.
- > Increasing toe scour.
- Relative reduction in crest levels.
- \succ Rise in ground water levels.

EcoNomics

Worley Parsons

CLIMATE CHANGE IMPACTS

- Increased wave heights would reduce the stability of revetment armouring and could cause the dislodgement of armour units and revetment failure.
- Increased toe scour could reduce overall wall stability, induce toe failures and slip failures.
- Increased water levels and wave heights could result in dangerous overtopping, crest failure of revetments and scour behind revetment and seawall structures. This could induce slip failures, overturning and bearing failures due to removal of backfill or increased hydrostatic loading
- > Rise in ground water levels can increase overturning moments.

WorleyParsons

CLIMATE CHANGE IMPACTS

Potential Remedial Works

- Constructing "falling toe" scour blankets for mass gravity seawalls.
- Extending toe protection for flexible revetments by increasing the extent and mass of the toe armour.
- Increasing armour size on flexible sloping revetments by placing an additional layer of larger units, building upon what is there already.
- Increasing revetment crest levels by placing armour on top or by constructing a wave deflector wall.

EcoNomics

SEAVALU PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT FORM DATE: Barrowski Strategie Str		is red biolis)	(If required, select more than on			
LOCATION: BY: OPS: DFS:		is rek biolis)	all and	T		
OPS: Buikhead Vial (e., sheetble vall, pie) Buikhead Vial (e., sheetble vall, pie) Rojd mass gravity sea-wils (e., concrete val) Piexible mass gravity sea-wils (e., concrete block, sand Rojd mass gravity sea-wils (e., concrete block, sand Rojd mass gravity sea-wils (e., concrete block, sand Rojd of the sector set block seatible element Bixble revetments (e., concrete sibe element Flaxible gravitments Sandbag revetments Sandbag revetments		is rok Novis)	all and			
SEAWALL TYPE: (tok) Bukhead Viral (i.e., sheebile wall, pile) Rigid mass gravity seawails (i.e., concrete wal) Flexible mass gravity seawails (i.e., concrete block, sand Rigid / DeniFigid reventments (i.e., concrete block, sand Pakxible reventments (i.e., nock nubble reventment) Sandbag reventments		ks. mole himska)	all and			
Bukhead Wall (i.e., sheetpile wall, pile) Rigid mass gravity seaval's (i.e., concrete wall) Fiexble mass gravity seaval's (i.e., concrete block, sand Rigid / Semi-Rigid revetments (i.e., concrete slab element Fiexble revetments (i.e., nock rubble revetment) Sandbag revetments		ks. mek bineks)	Alter			
 Rigid mass gravity seavals (i.e., concrete val) Flexible mass gravity seavals (i.e., concrete block, sand Rigid / Semi-Rigid revennents (i.e., cost in bble enverte Flexible revennents (i.e., rot, inbble revennent) Sandbag revennents 		ks. mek bindes)				
 Flexible mass gravity seavalls (i.e., concrete block, sand Rigid / Semi-Rigid reventments (i.e., concrete slab element Flexible reventments (i.e., rock rubble reventment) Sandbag reventments 		ks. mek Nineks)		Bearing Failure	 Overturning 	
 Flexible revetments (i.e., rock rubble revetment) Sandbag revetments 	s)		 Overall / Global Stability 	 Bearing Passare 	 Overturning 	
 Sandbag revetments 			entering (
				11 /2		
				mm_fff		
DIMENSIONS & DETAILS OF THE SEAWALL: Record if it is an	estimate	V measure			80000000	
Wall material (rock, sandbag, etc):	SKET		2	0494		
Crest width:	ONET	<i>.</i>			Contract Contractor	
Toe width:			 Sliding at the base 	 Toe Scour / Erosion 	 Overtopping 	
Height of protection / Wall:						
Embedment depth: Slope angle:				51 /4000		
Sicpe angle: Wall element size (if any):				and the second	- //	
Retained material (sand, clay, etc.):			1 1 1 1	-	60%	
 Filter behind wall (yes, no, NA): 			A home	1	100500	
Other comments:			2 section of the sect		TO THE REAL POINT	
OBSERVATION	YES/ NO/ NA	COMMENTS (i.e., size of cracks, distance from wall, movement,	Rotational slip failure	Anchor failure	Filter layer failure	
	-	settlement, etc.)	POTENTIAL FAILURE MODE		When "Yes" to any of the guestions as numbered	
A. TOE CONDITION	-		(BASED ON OBSERVATION AB	OVE)	above:	
Is the material near the toe buiging out? Is the toe exposed from its embedment?	-		 Overall / global stability 	001045	1.7.11.12	
Is the toe exposed from its embedment? Has rock armour been displaced?	-					
B. WALL CONDITION	-		 Bearing failure 		1, 3, 6 or 7, 8	
4. Has the wall element moved relative to other wall elements?	-		 Overturning 		2.6.9	
5. Has the wall moved laterally away from the retained material?	-		 Anchor or tie rod pull out 		2, 6, 9, 16	
6. Has the wall tilted toward the sea?	-		 Sliding at the base / disloc 	gement of blocks	3.4.5.9	
7. Has the wall tilted toward the land?			 Internal erosion 		3. 4. 9. 11. 14. 15	
C. TOP OF WALL CONDITION			Toe Erosion / scour		2.3	
8. Has the wall settled excessively?	_			2017 ⁻	9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15	
Has any gap been observed between the wall and the retainematerial?	' I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I		 Overtopping / overwash so Other comment (i.e., structural of 			
10.Is the wall too low and the surface of retained material continuously wet due to high tide, or wave overwash?						
D. RETAINED MATERIAL CONDITION						
11.Has the surface of the retained material immediately behind the wall settled excessively or cracked?						
12. Has the surface of the retained material (i.e., 2 to 3 m away from the wall) settled or cracked?			Is further assessment by a geot	the loss constant constants	wan .	
13.Is there any evidence of surface erosion?	-					
14.Is the surface drainage not working properly?			Is any remedial action / work ne If known, what is the remedial	eded to be undertaken immedia	dely? (Y/N)	



OBSERVATION	YES/ NO/ NA	COMMENTS (i.e., size of cracks, distance from wall, movement, settlement, etc)	
A. TOE CONDITION			
 Is the material near the toe bulging out? 			
2. Is the toe exposed from its embedment?			
3. Has rock armour been displaced?			
B. WALL CONDITION			
Has the wall element moved relative to other			
Has the wall moved laterally away from the re	etained material?		
6. Has the wall tilted toward the sea?			
Has the wall tilted toward the land?			
C. TOP OF WALL CONDITION			
Has the wall settled excessively?			
9. Has any gap been observed between the wal material?	II and the retained		
10.Is the wall too low and the surface of retained continuously wet due to high tide, or wave ov			
D. RETAINED MATERIAL CONDITION			
11.Has the surface of the retained material imme the wall settled excessively or cracked?	ediately behind		
12.Has the surface of the retained material (i.e., from the wall) settled or cracked?	2 to 3 m away		
13.1s there any evidence of surface erosion?			
14.1s the surface drainage not working properly	?		
15.Is there any localised settlement / collapse, o the wall?	r cavity behind		



POTENTIAL FAILURE MODE (BASED ON OBSERVATION ABOVE)	When "Yes" to any of the questions as numbered above:
 Overall / global stability 	1, 7, 11, 12
 Bearing failure 	1, 3, 6 or 7, 8
 Overturning 	2, 6, 9
 Anchor or tie rod pull out 	2, 6, 9, 16
 Sliding at the base / dislodgement of blocks 	3, 4, 5, 9
 Internal erosion 	3, 4, 9, 11, 14, 15
 Toe Erosion / scour 	2, 3
 Overtopping / overwash scour 	9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15
s further assessment by a geotechnical consultant required	red? (Y/N)
Is further assessment by a geotechnical consultant requir Is any remedial action / work needed to be undertaken imr	

