

## Sydney Coastal Councils Group & CSIRO

## Mapping&Respondingto Coastal Inundation

## **Project Launch Final Report**



The Southern Function Room, City of Sydney Council Level 4, 456 Kent Street, Sydney Thursday 4 October 2012 8.30am – 1.30pm



### "Mapping and Responding to Coastal Inundation"

Given that it is impossible to stop climate change impacts and resultant sea level increases and more intense significant storm events; Local, State and Federal authorities are faced with the need to consider key areas at immediate to medium threat. This information needs to then be applied to planning mechanisms and management strategies to cope with future impacts of increased coastal inundation and erosion directly impacting existing, redeveloped and new development within their coastal landscape areas.

This project maps areas of risk, utilising sophisticated modeling together with Councils own information sources (e.g. LiDAR technology) to determine risk and develop consistent model planning and management responses in consultation with relevant state government agencies and the broader community.

This project has been made possible with joint funding by the Australian and NSW Governments under the Natural Disaster Mitigation program.





### **Project Objectives**

- Enhance the capacity and knowledge of local governments and other decision makers in the region to prepare for and adapt to climate change (specifically focusing on sea level rise and extreme water levels, eg storm surges),
- Develop an approach to climate change assessment and adaptation with a particular focus on relevant planning provisions in identified immediate and future coastal inundation (flood) zones and potential beach erosion escarpments,
- Develop and distribute associated community risk disclosure information and corresponding community and stakeholder education programs to better inform communities of the degree of risk(s).

### **Project Stages**

**Stage One**: Effect of Climate Change on Sea level Rise and Extreme Sea Levels - A set of high resolution hydrodynamic model simulations have been produced in order to obtain current climate, as well as storm tide return level estimates and sea level rise considerations.

**Stage Two:** Development of planning guidance to integrate sea level rise and extreme sea level events into relevant planning strategies of the SCCG:

- Assess existing planning strategies (Australia and Internationally)
- Identify gaps in information, knowledge, capacity or external barriers
- Develop model provisions, actions and implementation strategies

<sup>1</sup> The SCCG engaged the Environmental Defender's Office NSW (EDO) to conduct a comparative assessment of:

- 1. Australian State and Territory planning and coastal legislation and policies that address sea level rise, coastal erosion, coastal inundation and storm surge; and
- 2. regional and international jurisdictions.

The results of this analysis are presented in the report prepared by the EDO, a copy of which can be downloaded by clicking on the following link: <u>Audit of Sea Level Rise, Coastal Erosion</u> <u>and Inundation Legislation and Policy</u>

**Stage Three:** Develop and distribute community risk disclosure information and corresponding community and stakeholder education program:

- Assess existing education strategies within Australia and Internationally for addressing and communicating sea level rise and flooding impacts.
- Consultation with member councils and targeted community groups and individuals to identify gaps in information, knowledge and capacity as well as internal and external barriers for message transfers.
- Utilising outcomes of stage 1 and 2 and incorporating the above to develop and deliver freely available educational tools that build the understanding and capacity of relevant stakeholders.

### MAPPING AND RESPONDING TO COASTAL INUNDATION The Southern Function Room, City of Sydney Council Level 4, 456 Kent Street, Sydney Thursday 4 October 2012

8.30am – 1.30pm

8.30	REGISTRATIONS OPEN
9.00	INTRODUCTION & WELCOME
	Mr Geoff Withycombe,
	Executive Officer SCCG
	Di Mainew Innan Program Leader
	CSIRO – Urban Systems
9.15	A LEGAL PERSPECTIVE
	Ms Kirston Gerathy
	Partner
0 15	
7.40	Dr Felix Linkin
	Research Officer
	CSIRO- Marine and Atmospheric Research
10. <mark>45 –</mark> 11	.15 MORNING TEA
11.15	AUDIT OF SEA LEVEL RISE, COASTAL EROSION AND INUNDATION LEGISLATION
	AND POLICY (Stage 2 – Preliminary)
	Ms Rachel Walmsley
	Policy & Law Reform Director
11.40	
11.40	INCORPORATING COASTAL INUNDATION AND SEA LEVEL RISE INTO LOCAL AND
	REGIONAL FLANNING RESPONSES (SIDGE 2)
	Project Leader
	CSIRO- Sustainable Ecosystems
12.05	SUPPORTING LOCAL GOVERNMENT TO COMMUNICATE COASTAL INUNDATION
	(Stage 3)
	Ms Anne Leitch
	Communications Advisor
12.45	PANEL SESSION (Questions - Implementation)
12110	
	All Presenters
1.25	CONCLUDING REMARKS
	Mr Geoff Withycombe, Executive Officer SCCG
1.30	LUNCH / NETWORKING
2.00	FORUM CLOSE

### PROGRAM

### A LEGAL PERSPECTIVE

Ms Kirston Gerathy Partner | HWL Ebsworth Lawyers

**Expertise:** Climate Change and Sustainability, Government, Planning and Environment Kirston Gerathy is a specialist Planning and Environment lawyer with a particular emphasis on the strategic, regulatory and environmental aspects of development and infrastructure projects. Kirston is highly skilled at working with multi-disciplinary teams to ensure project outcomes are achieved.

Kirston is recognised for her successful approach to the conduct of environmental litigation, and has been involved in a number of significant and seminal cases in both the Land & Environment Court and the Court of Appeal concerning land use development. Kirston has particular experience advising clients in relation to heritage conservation and the regulatory and compliance regimes relating to pollution of the environment. She regularly advises in relation to large-scale infrastructure augmentation including sewage treatment plants, water reclamation and supply and stormwater utilities.

Kirston has a special interest in managing ecological sustainability and biodiversity conservation issues including preservation of wetlands, native vegetation and threatened species.

Frequently retained in relation to release and renewal projects, Kirston has in depth experience in assisting clients to achieve strategic planning goals through the preparation of s94 contributions plans, local environmental plans, development control plans, voluntary planning agreements and management guidelines to facilitate sustainable development in conjunction with essential infrastructure, roadworks and public domain improvements. Dr Felix Lipkin Research Officer I CSIRO

Felix is a spatial scientist with CSIRO's Urban Systems Program and his key areas of research involve the development and use of 3D physical models of the built and natural environments to model energy demand, impact from natural hazards and urban sustainability.

For this project, Felix worked with Kathy McInnes and led the inundation mapping component of Stage One = 'Effect of Climate Change on Sea Level Rise and Extreme Sea Levels'























V Ir st	Vorks. They provide formation Service tudy area. Data w invironment and H	led an extract from their es) of all hydrological sur as provided as PDF's ma leritage were able to pro- Department of Co- Co-	SASIS ( Veys cor aps and vide the	Surveyi ducted the Offi digitize	ing and Spat l over the cas ice of d contour lin
11 an 11	The Budge	Fair Hading the STOREY - Servey	ge + Intonosearvec	SURVEY - Social by	Plan No Ased
11340 12346 12342 12643	TTHEY KIEL EDD TTHEY KIEL EDD TTHEY ENDOR - DADRATTA NT STIME NUMBER - UANKETA NT TTHEY ENDOR - UANKETA NT	REMARKAE OFTICAL RODOTING BOBBET FINELSO FORT BOBBET MORE FOLLOS ENCLUTION BOBBETA DO BOESLA-FORME FINELSO FORT	T T T	29 Aug 1977 01 Aug 1979 29 Jul 1990 15 Jun 1941	ED DRAF A CONTINE A CONTINE A DRUCHE
2942 2940 2940 2940 2940	ETIME METHAN HOLDS FINE KETIAN HOLDS FINE KETIAN HOLDS FINE KETIAN HOLDS FINE KETIAN HOLDS FINE KETIAN HOLDS	NUMBLA NORM HENCE HACC MEDIE HACC HACCE LINE NAVIEN MEDIENE AND AND AND AND AND AND AND MEDIENE AND		01. Jun 1994 05 Jun 1994 01. Jun 1994 17 Ray 1985 17 Ray 1985	NO STATE IN ADDRESS NO STATE IN ADDRESS DEFINITION OF
18952 15245 15765 15798	TTHE MERCE - RATHETTA PE TTHEF MARCH - RATHETTA PE TTHEF MARCH - RATHETTA PE TTHEF MARCH - RATHETTA PE	PROPOSE WALK SITS-CONTENT AND DWELHT - NO STREEC C RACOMMUNATION - RELL CS. MEMORIES MR FAM - 1400/15 - RELL CS. MEMORIES	1 1 1 1	03 Jun 1995 31 Jun 1995 16 Jul 1945 32 Hey 1996	DAFL 18 DAFL 12X 2 KINANO K 5 B
8728 8729 8725 8725 09020 071620	TTARY ADMON FTARY ADMON TTARY ADMON TOMOT OF BACK MACTORON & FOR LOS MOL-0.0.7, 20082 FTARY ADMON PERSONNEL SHYLL - FRO	THEN, GARGEEF TELL, GARGEEF HER FLACTICS - HART HAR - CHETHEN HER FLACTICS - HERT HAR - CHETHEN HERT FLACTER - HERT HERT FIRT ODGINE HERDERATICT HERT		CL 2nm 2418 CL 2nm 2418 24 Juny 2413 CL 2nm 2412 24 Juny 2412 25 Juny 2418 25 Juny 2418	C NMF C NMF NUME NAME LIANG OKIA FEDERA NAMEAL



















To portray the absolute vertion L.E. at 95% co	uncertainty in potential inundati al accuracy of the data must be onfidence = 1.96 * RMSE = 1.96	on levels calculated fro known at a 95% conf * 0.15cm	om elevation da idence (Gesch	ata, the 2009.)
0.30m				
*Two layers have be	en provided extracted per event: an inundation	a layer and an inundation including	ng the maximum verti	ical.
	Area of land inundated (m <sup>2</sup> )			
Scenario	Not Including LiDAR Uncertainy	Including Uncertainty	Difference	
1 in 1	28322267	35728256	20.73%	
1 in 100	32205477	39439263	18.34%	
1 in 1 +34cm	37331383	45168841	17.35%	
1 in 100+34cm	42335292	50799820	16.66%	
1 in 1+84 cm	50167760	59425454	15.58%	
1 in 100+84cm	57884441	66648295	13.15%	
CSIRO.				C1180

What Ha	s Been Provided
File name convent An A2 Size Map Pe 1yr.shp - 1yr.LU.shp -	<b>ions</b> <i>r Council (.PDF)</i> 1 in 1 year event. 1 in 1 year event with 30cm LiDAR Uncertainty.
1yr40.shp 1yr40LU.shp- 1yr90.shp 1yr90LU.shp-	1 in 1 year event with 40 cm Sea Level Rise. 1 in 1 year event with 40 cm Sea Level Rise and 30cm LiDAR Uncertainty. 1 in 1 year event with 90cm Sea Level Rise. 1 in 1 year event. with 90cm Sea Level Rise and 30cm LiDAR Uncertainty.
100yr.shp - 100yrLU.shp- 100yr40.shp- 100yr40LU.shp- 100yr90.shp- 100yr90LU.shp-	1 in 100 year event .     1 in 100 year event with 30cm LiDAR Uncertainty.     1 in 100 year event with 40 cm Sea Level Rise.     1 in 100 year event with 40cm Sea Level Rise and 30cm LiDAR Uncertainty.     1 in 100 year event with 90cm Sea Level Rise.     1 in 100 year event with 90cm Sea Level Rise and 30cm LiDAR Uncertainty.
CSIRO.	<b>Q</b>

•••	Disease and the second	ant late-				•
() () () () () () () () () () () () () (	rick FTP		9685 Bridde	4		ter all an poor Tax Tax Jackab. San
11 11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	<ul> <li>Verse</li> <li>Verse</li> <li>Verse</li> <li>Production</li> <li>Research</li> <li>Research</li></ul>	na anggangan Tanggan Shi ang Tanggan Shi a sasahi kang ada Tanggan Shi ang Tanggan Shi ang ang ang Manggan Shi ang ang ang ang ang ang ang Kang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang Kang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang	Zine net cashe a 197 propres al 1000, Yanwait, Pildha	Modified Mit Grapper With the Link With the	940× 940× 940× 940×	# Stachessen     (Quesd Head)     (Quesd Head)
		C Arrest Tex				

				-
RTT Deserves +				
C S Mar / and brickly same / such - formulate				
				Weigners hard, manual
Belek ITP			30.0	and latt on your track Trial. (Uppeak
BURKET	#1.83 (month	- C		
Automi Milliofia	A State Science	12.13		THE REAL PROPERTY OF
D alter	1.62	and the second second		d Destination
The second section				
D' http://www.		1010013104	BOTH .	Q taiwat Finish
O Termite		88 00 21 11	BODK.	Cines Islan
C Internet		88 Ebril 33 Mil.	B-CX	Consult and for the line
C Nets		60 fbit 23.5M	3004	
C Manhar		10 011 71 10	B-PDX	W MINISTRATION.
C) in Nettleher		62 00 21 04	BPDX	
C) Contraction		82.061.23.56	BADX.	
🖸 🗀 Sastestik		02 041 23:56	BODX	
C		60 Oct 21/57	9FCK	
😳 🙀 Sutherland		10 Do 21/17	9/DX	
C) in factors		90 0m 81/8F	BPDX	
C Bettad		94 04 21 57	BODK.	
C 🔛 Ravetsa		68 Det 21:57	9008	
C Streette		88 Div 21/57	BPDX:	
			the second se	

<ul> <li>Constituents</li> <li>⇒ S   a max / as institue</li> <li>Brown haves as</li> </ul>	an hat-O'm				2 A 0 A
Brick FTP		PLR PLAN		80.8a	e al ar yes the The Uppels for
C Chara		-	and a		2 instrum
C Standards Laters		01.2.00 83.4.68	00.000-11.00 02.000-22.00	#0×	O Case Inter
The last state of the last of	n y constituen (17 program, 765, 5 months field) - Antonio Maria - Antonio Maria and Carllan Print, 1964 months	and many party, as 199 proposi- mention terms. Transmitt, 198103	n anger early protester		<ul> <li>Gamman Logar for statusting</li> <li>A Manuar for statusting</li> </ul>
laren hana Jani'nako Namara	ago, ne' cinetago page Marga Sparte pagamente para ante de la gare famili				

## AUDIT OF SEA LEVEL RISE, COASTAL EROSION AND INUNDATION LEGISLATION AND POLICY

### Ms Rachel Walmsley

Policy and Law Reform Director I NSW EDO

Rachel Walmsley is the Policy and Law Reform Director at the EDO NSW. She has written law reform submissions and discussion papers across a range of environmental issues, including responding to significant reforms that are proposed for planning and environmental laws in NSW and nationally. Rachel has a Masters in Environmental Science and Law from University of Sydney; a Graduate Diploma in Legal Practice; a Bachelor of Laws with Honours in international environmental law; and a Bachelor of Arts from ANU. Rachel is Co-consulting Editor of the Australian Environment Review, and has lectured on environmental law at UNSW. Rachel is also a member of a number of government & non-government advisory committees on natural resource and environment issues.

### Authored 2 great reports for the SCCG:

- 2008, Coastal Councils Planning for Climate Change: An assessment of Australian and NSW legislation and government policy provisions in relation to climate change relevant to regional and metropolitan NSW coastal councils.
- 2011, Audit of Sea Level Rise, Coastal Erosion and Inundation Legislation and Policy.







Jurisdiction			Search Term		
Western	"sea level rise"	"coastal erosion"	"coastal inundation"	"storm surge"	"coast"
Australia					
Legislation	No results.	No results.	No results.	No results.	17
Regulations	No results.	No results.	No results.	No results.	44
Legislation	No results.	No results.	No results.	No results.	21
Regulations	No results.	No results.	No results.	No results.	Not available at time of writing.
Northern Territory					
Legislation	No results.	No results.	No results.	No results.	9
Regulations	No results.	No results.	No results.	No results.	13
South					
Australia					
Legislation	No results.	No results.	No results.	No results.	79
Regulations	No results.	Development	No results.	No results.	97
		Regulations 2008:			
		Schedule 2, 3 & 8			
		(not relevant).			
Victoria					
Legislation	No results.	No results.	No results.	No results.	27
Regulations	No results.	No results.	No results.	No results.	13
Queensland					
Legislation	No results.	No results.	No results.	No results.	266
Regulations	No results.	No results.	No results.	No results.	13
New South Wales					
Legislation	No results.	No results.	No results.	No results.	138
Regulations	21 Various LEPs and	18 Various LEPs and	- Newcastle LEP 2003	No results.	489
		SEPPs	- SEPP (Infrastructure) 2003		
	SEPPs	SEPPs	- SEPP (Infrastructure) 2003		E

## Audit Findings – Western Australia Limited reference to search terms. When they did appear it was not primarily in relation to the coastal zone. Indication that terms would be addressed in the State Planning Policy, intended to be released at the end of 2010. Update? Review of State Coastal Planning Policy (SPP2.6)

### Audit Findings - Tasmania

### Transition period:

- State Coastal Policy under review
- Tasmanian Framework for Action on Climate Change under review
- Policy statements on adaptation to be released over next 12 months
- Case Studies City of Clarence

### Update?

- Draft of the State Coastal Policy was rejected & a new 'Coastal Planning &
- Management Framework' is being developed Developing a Coastal Hazards Planning Directive, this may/will include SLR benchmarks
- Policy statements on adaptation have just been released for comment
- Tasmanian Coastal Adaptation Pathways project progressed





### Audit Findings - Victoria

- Transition with changes expected from meeting of Coastal Climate Change Advisory Committee in December 2010
  - how to better utilise land-use planning and development controls
     Focus on developing a strong governance structure to address project issues

### Update?

 State Planning Policy Framework (SPPF) refers to climate change and SLR; decision-makers must consider SPPFs when making decisions but are not bound by them.



## <section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><text><text><text><text><text>

### Audit Findings - Queensland

- Transition period
- Reviewing Draft Queensland Coastal Plan (final to released March)
   Indication that the four current Regional Management Plans will be
- Controversial land management principles, eg: Injurious Affection
- Update?
- OLD Coastal Plan released late 2011, commenced February 2012 containing requirement for local government to develop Coastal Hazard Adaptation strategies that consider 0.8m SLR by 2100, and 1in 100 year storm events
- Regional plans still in place
- Significant potential implications of new QLD government



## Audit Findings – New South Wales e. cocussed mainly on the new instruments Dverall e. Large amounts of documentation. Detail in subordinate instruments as opposed to primary legislation. Appears to be ad-hoc and unstructured system that requires overarching guidance Duates Duates Alew coastal management 'reforms' – eg. To make it easier for landowners build temporary protection structures; "remove the compulsory application of SLR benchmarks"; focus s149 certificates on "current known hazards" Broader planning reforms?

Audit Findings – International Jurisdictions	Key Recommendations (NSW)
<ul> <li>New Zealand</li> <li>United Kingdom</li> <li>South Africa</li> <li>California</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Address ad-hoc framework</li> <li>Need for detail to appear in primary legis as opposed to subordinate instruments</li> <li>Improving enforcement and compliance systems</li> <li>More adequately dealing with existing inappropriate development</li> <li>Providing certainty to those managing th uncertain</li> </ol>



## INCORPORATING COASTAL INUNDATION AND SEA LEVEL RISE INTO LOCAL AND REGIONAL PLANNING RESPONSES

Dr Matt Inman

Program Leader Urban Systems I CSIRO

Matthew is a research scientist with CSIRO's Urban Systems Program and his key areas of research include integrated urban water management as well as options to improve the resilience of cities to climate change impacts. For this project, Matthew led Stages One and Two from 2011 onwards and coordinated the wider CSIRO team.





### Rationale for the Project

- Assess existing planning strategies within Australia and Internationally
  used to address and communicate sea level rise and flooding impacts
- Identify gaps in information, knowledge, capacity or external barriers preventing councils from integrating sea level rise and flooding adaptation actions and considerations into local EP instruments and associated policies
- Explore different strategies to guide local and state government with the integration of climate change adaptation into local EP instruments and policies

### Key Steps in the Project

- Environmental Defender's Office Report
- Principles to guide a co-ordinated planning response
- Workshop Discussion and Findings
- Final Report



### **Principles**

- · Protecting environmental and community values
- Translating climate science for management
- · Timing of action: anticipatory or reactive responses
- Increase policy convergence and minimise mal-adaptation
- · Allocating costs, benefits and responsibilities

# Workshop Pre-workshop survey Planning responses / measures identified Flood modelling and mapping Investigation areas Zoning and triggers for re-zoning Land tenure-based responses Strategic land purchase Development Assessment, criteria and conditions in coastal risk areas



Thank you!
Contact Details: Matthew.lnman@csiro.au
+ 61 2 9490 5499
2

### SUPPORTING LOCAL GOVERNMENT TO COMMUNICATE COASTAL INUNDATION

Ms Anne Leitch

Communication Specialist, Climate Adaptation I CSIRO

Anne is a Communications Specialist working with CSIRO's Climate Adaptation Flagship where she develops and implements communication plans that promote activities and outcomes from the science. Anne also contributed directly to the project as a Team Member. For this Project, Anne led Stage Three - 'Toolkit for Communicating Sea Level Rise'.



Anne Leitch | Presenter title 4 October 2012

### 4 October 2012

## COUNCLE GROUP

### Review thinking and practice around communicating sea level rise and develop resources to support council communication

- Literature review of risk communication and sea level rise communication
- Review existing local and international strategies for addressing coastal inundation and other natural hazards
- Consult with member councils to identify gaps in information, knowledge and capacity as well as internal and external barriers for message transfers
- Develop resource kit for councils to increase their capacity to communicate about sea level rise.

### Local government managing sea level rise

- 1. LG play a key role in managing adaptation to climate change
- 2. LG already manage natural hazards
- 3. LG already communicate and engage with their community over a variety of issues
- 4. Sea level rise is a difficult issue for local government to manage

### SLR – a 'post normal' problem

'Facts are uncertain, values in dispute, stakes high and decisions urgent' Brings values, resources and rights into conflict - mobilises a wide range of political & stakeholder interests Challenges a traditional approach of a defined 'scientific' methodology leading to a clear solution Challenges how we think & make decisions with uncertainties



### Approach of stage 3

- Build on existing processes &/or strengths of councils
- -History of managing natural hazard
- $-\,{\rm Trust}\,/\,{\rm relationship}$  with community more broadly/other issues
- Consider different types of risk & uncertainties
- -How do people think about risk and uncertainty?
- Need to broaden & deepen community engagement to build trust and include different types of knowledge
- Differentiate types of stakeholders
- -E.g. existing property owners, prospective residents etc
- Use a variety of ways to engage tailored & targeted to stakeholders

### **Risk communication framework**

- 1. Crisis communication e.g. Disaster planning for extreme events
- 2. Care communication e.g. Land use planning
- 3. Consensus planning e.g. Coastal futures



	Crisis	Care communication	Consensus
	communication		communication
Direction of communication	One way	Dominantly one way	Multi directional
		Local knowledge about barriers and enablers	
Types of knowledge	High expert knowledge	Moderate expert knowledge	A range of knowledge used
	Low community	including technical and authority	Local expert knowledge
	knowledge	Moderate community knowledge including historical knowledge of previous events, socially relevant experience	High community knowledge
Types of messages	Information about harm mitigation, procedures, possible additional harm	Information about avoiding future risk, preparedness, planning	Sharing information about values and aspirations
Examples of council activities	Disaster response planning at the community scale	Land use planning Disaster preparation planning at individual and group scale Integrated coastal management	Integrated coastal management
Examples on the coast	Expert understanding of the nature, scale, likely impact of the hazard e.g. storm events, tsunami, increasing extreme events	Response/ responsibility of community to prepare for natural hazards (e.g. household evacuation plan)	Community engagement to ascertain community values and aspirations for the future including tradeoffs

Dublic participation anal	Consult	Involve	Collaborate	Empower
To provide balanced and objective information to assist understanding of topic, alternatives, opportunities and/or solutions.	To obtain public feedback on analysis, alternatives and/or decisions.	To work with the public throughout the process to ensure that concerns & aspirations are consistently understood & considered	To partner with the public in each aspect of the decision including development of alternatives and identification of preferred solution.	To place final decision making in the hands of the public
Promise to the public				
We will keep you informed	We will keep you informed, listen and acknowledge concerns and aspirations, and provide feedback on how input influenced the decision	We will work with you to ensure that your concerns & aspirations are directly reflected in the alternatives developed & provide feedback on how input influenced the decision.	We will look to you for direct advice and innovation in formulating solutions and incorporate your advice & recommendations into the decisions to the maximum extent	We will implement what you decide
Techniques to consider (see	e also Table 5 for case study	1		la contra de la cont
Fact Sheet Web site Advertisement Media release Newsletter Email list Social media	Public comment Focus group Surveys Stakeholder meeting Shopfront Phone hotline Briefings Feedback forms Social media	Workshop Deliberate polling Social media Advisory groups Discussion forums	Advisory Committees Deliberative Dialogue	(Empowerment depends on how this information is incorporated – i.e. how much power is handed to community Summits Deliberative Democracy Deliberative forums Citizen's panels Citizen's panels





Existin	ig property owners are aware of their	rights a	and responsibilities for their property as	well as	council's rights and responsibilities			
Counc	il's position is defensible – legally and	morall	y but also in the eyes of the broader cor	nmunit	y			
Stake	takeholder concerns			Key messages				
Will the value of my property be affected			Council is acting responsibly and legally					
Will I be able to develop my property				Council has investigated this using the best available scientific knowledge				
Why is council doing this?				Residents have local knowledge to contribute also				
How is council doing this? Do they have the right to do this?				Council wants to work in partnership with residents to ensure best outcome for all				
Will the beach be affected?				Decisions made now affect the sustainability of our coasts in the future				
Why can we do what we have done in the past			We need to act now to protect our coast					
What alternatives has council investigated?								
Can I I	nave a say?			1				
Factic		Deliver		Who		Mea	Measured by	
•	Council makes hazard maps available with supporting information	•	Letter to existing property owners letting them know rationale how/where maps can be viewed	•	Community engagement & planners	•	Stakeholder feedback	
	Meetings by locality – aim for 'small groups in safe environment'	•	Invitation by areas – most affected, moderately affected, least affected	•	Community engagement & planners	•	Stakeholder feedback	
•	Local knowledge project	•	Project to canvas local knowledge: historical, events, solutions, photos	•	Community engagement	:	Number of responses Staff can use new knowledge	
•	Fact sheet for existing property owners	•	Posted out & available on website	•	Community engagement & planners	•	Pre-test with focus group	
	FAQ: Impacts of sea level rise on x Shire	•	Available on website	•	Community engagement & planners	·	Pre-test with focus group	
				1		1		







### Sydney Coastal Councils Group Inc.

councils caring for the coastal environment Level 14, Town Hall House, 456 Kent Street GPO Box 1591, SYDNEY NSW 2001 t: +61 2 9246 7326 | f: +61 2 9265 9660 e: info@sydneycoastalcouncils.com.au W: www.sydneycoastalcouncils.com.au.com.au

