Quantifying the Value of Sydney's Beaches

Dave Anning Economics, Management & the Coastal Zone Forum 24 February 2011

Sydney Beaches Valuation Project

- Collaboration between UNSW and SCCG
- Value case study beaches using a range of methods
- Inform existing management, and response to future changes under climate change



Why value beaches?

Beaches already affected by erosion due to large storms Increasing development and visitation pressure 90cm Sea Level Rise by 2100 (NSW SLRPS)

Management responses

- Do nothing, retreat, adapt, protect
- Hard or soft protection most likely
- · What will different alternatives mean in environmental, social and economic terms?



Seawalls or sand

- Which makes the most economic sense?
- Who should pay?
- Source of sand for nourishment?





ach may look in 20

Research focus

- What would the partial or total loss of beaches mean for:
- the local property market and rates revenue? (Hedonic Pricing Method)
- tourism and recreation revenue streams? (Travel Cost Method)
- intangible cultural and amenity values? (Contingent Valuation)

Hedonic Pricing Method

- How are property values influenced by the beach?
- How does erosion risk information affect the local property market?



Hedonic analysis - CN

- 1200 properties included in analysis
- Land values provided by Department of Lands
- Compared to variables such as elevation, distance from beach



CN Land Values

- Strong preference for beach frontage Overall average \$972k per property
- Houses in first block worth \$1.22 million
- Average land value of beachfront property is \$1.99 million
- Total value of properties in first block is \$246 million



Risk and beachfront property

- Of the beachfront property, about half is located in the zone of Wave Impact
- \$88.7 million at serious risk
- Are property purchasers mad?





Property purchasers aren't mad Beach Precinct 5 – average value of

average value of \$2.2 million

Beach Precinct 3 – average value of \$1.55 million

Beach Precinct 1 – average value of \$2.6 million

40% discount for erosion risk



Travel Cost Method

- What would the loss of beaches mean for tourism and recreation?
- What would this mean for the local, State and national economies?



Tourism value of Sydney beaches

- 8.7% of domestic daytrip visitors go to beach (1.51 million visitors per year)
- 21% of domestic overnight visitors go to the beach (1.43 million per year)
- 60% of international tourists go to the beach (1.56 million visitors per year)
- 4.5 million visitors, not including residents!

Travel Cost Method

- People spend money if they think they will get more 'value' back



How much people spend

Sensitive to inclusions

- Driving and travel costs: \$5.87
- Average onsite spend: \$5.66
- Average travel time cost: \$9.04

Sydney Beaches Valuation Survey Click Here

Value of a beach dayEstimated consumer surplus for
Collaroy-Narrabeen (CN) and
Manly Ocean Beach (MOB)Travel costs only:
CN: $$15.62 \pm 5.11
MOB $$17.95 \pm 4.14 Fride
state
beach Visit
geach Visit
beach Visit
geach Visit
beach Visit
geach Visit
beach Visit
geach Visit
beach Visit
geach Visit
beach Visit
beach Visit
geach Visit
beach Visit
be

Translating to value

An example: ManlySummer 07/08SLSA :855977 beach usersCouncil lifeguard :4.1 million (on sand)Surf camera analysis :3942 people



Recreational value of Manly Beach

Assume 4 million visitors (conservative)

Using travel cost consumer surplus estimate: \$71.8 million p.a.

Including onsite costs: \$259.8 million p.a.



Contingent Valuation

- Hypothetical Market constructed
- · Captures non-use values
- Allows for exploration of situations not experienced before – Climate Change!



Paying to avoid closure

• In 2050, 10% of the time you visit the beach there will be no dry sand present at high tide. Would you be willing to contribute \$X to a fund designed to prevent this erosion occurring?



WTP results

- 71% believe SLR will affect beach amenity
- Around 53% of all respondents would be willing to pay, in principle, for a beach management fund that would prevent erosion
- Average WTP of around \$8.44 (± \$0.87) per person, among those who support inprinciple

Understanding WTP

- Reasons for non-contribution included:
- Not local beach
- Insufficient information about project
- Pay taxes already
- Most common reason for positive response is future use of the beach Bequest value also important

Key learning outcomes

- Sydney beaches are highly important in an economic sense
- Revenue streams are substantial
- Argument for beach erosion prevention is strong
- Alternative beach management funding options may be possible, but design of a project is critical
- Need better estimates of visitation, and more valuation work in general

Funding challenges

- 2009/10 NSW Coastal Management Program grants total \$820 320
- Councils can't go to the bank with WTP
- Coastal protection service charge

What's next?

- Visitation information is critical
- The surveys identified a clear need to better understand what aspects of coastal adaptation options are most important in determining responses
- Need more detailed site-specific information on coastal planning and engineering options, timing, cost-sharing

