Case Study Workshop:

Using Beach Recreation Value Data

Mike Raybould & Dave Anning

Outline

- Principles of evaluation
- Cost-Benefit Evaluation
- Case 1: Wooli Massive beach nourishment option
- Case 2: Wooli Nourishment plus land swap option
- Case 3: Grunters Stair Improvement
- Case 4: Beach nourishment at Sunshine Coast
- Case 5: Dune revegetation and view improvements at Avalon beach

Roundtable/group discussion

- What is your earliest memory of the coast?
- What has changed the most since you were a child?
- What do you think your local beach will look like for your kids/grandkids?
- What would you most like to retain/improve for future generations?

Economic evaluation/appraisal

- Means of comparing projects or management options to determine preferred options
- Appraisal tools used to assist decision-makers
- Cost-benefit analysis (CBA) and multicriteria analysis (MCA) are the most commonly used

Cost-benefit analysis

- Dominant appraisal method in capital/infrastructure projects
- Compares costs and benefits of a single project over a defined timeframe
- Requires conversion of values to a common unit, current dollars
- Costs typically incurred upfront, benefits may exist over decades
- Discounting and the inherent assumptions becomes important

Decision criteria

Net Present Value – NPV

- Discounted benefits discounted costs
- If the figure is above zero, the project looks favourable given the assumptions

Benefit-Cost Ratio – BCR

- Discounted benefits / discounted costs
- Higher ratios are better, anything over 1 is a positive project

Internal Rate of Return – IRR

- The discount rate at which benefits=costs
- If IRR is higher than the test discount rate, then the project is worthwhile

Scenarios modelled in BASTRA workshops

Case-study location	Scenario description	Summary of costs	Summary of benefits		
Sunshine Coast	Improving access to an unspecified beach in the northern region – Mudjimba area	Car park and access stair construction	Increased visitation		
Surf Coast	Surf Coast walk development	Boardwalk and car-park construction	Increased visitation		
Clarence Valley	Wooli Village erosion and riverine flooding management plan	Construction of levee, raised access road, relocate South Village houses and water tower infrastructure, beach nourishment, purchase of new land for land swap	Increased security of North Village, maintain beach amenity		
Augusta-Margaret River	Improving access to Grunters Beach through car-park development and construction of formalised access stairs to replace limestone path	Car park and access stair construction	Improved access and increase parking availability to key learner surf break		

Case 1: Wooli – Massive beach nourishment option



WorleyParsons

resources & energy





Wooli Village

Coastline Management Strategy Update and Options Review





5.2 Management Options Considered in 1997

 Table 5.2. updates capital and maintenance costs for management options considered in the 1997

 Coastline Management Plan.

Table 5.2 Management Options Considered in 1997

Option	\$1997 Initial/ Capital Cost	\$2010 Initial/ Capital Cost	\$1997 Ave. Maintenance Cost /annum	\$2010 Ave. Maintenance Cost /annum
Seawall (full length)	12,520,000	17,700,000	196,100	277,200
Seawall (partial)	11,170,000	15,792,000	174,700	247,000
Groynes and Nourishment	13,080,000	18,492,000	308,100	435,600
Property relocation and Buy-back	3,600,000 to 7,500,000	5,090,000 to *10,603,000	_	-
Massive Beach Nourishment	12,980,000	18,351,000	667,600	943,800
Beach Scraping and Vegetation Regeneration	135,000	191,000	103,000	145,600

Page 44

301020-02273

3 August 2010



Source: former Dept. Natural Resources Estuary Inventory

Infrastructure & Environment 8-14 Tarford Street Newcastle East, NSW 2300, Australia PO Box 688 NEWCASTLE NSW 2300 Telephone: +612 4907 5300 Facsimile: +612 4907 5338 www.workingars.org.com Abit 6101/27812

© Copyright 2010 WorkeyParsons

+	A	B	C C	D D-Kana Dan	E	F	G	Н	1	
	wooli l	Beach Massive	e Beach Nourishmen	Uption: Ben	erit-Lost Modelling					
_	<u> </u>				- 2010 - 142				h -	
_			each Nourishment (V						Notes	
4		Project costs:			Project benefits:	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		1001 201		
5		Capital cost (\$)	a		Total regional beach visits:			1,801,728		
6		Annual Mainten	ance as % of capital					566,605		
7					Wooli beach visits: Local r			90,086		
8					Wooli beach visits: Visitors			1,802		
Э					Tourism growth p.a. (Real)			1.03		
0					Resident growth p.a.	1.11/00		1.03		
1					Resident value for a beach				Fuel+Time (Fuel only = \$	\$6.10)
2					Tourist value for beach vis			\$26	Weighted avg.	
3					Resident recreation benefit			\$837,804		
4					Tourism recreation benefit			\$46,845		
5						nefits threatened (or protecte			Generous!	
6						ned (or protected) p.a. by pro	posal	\$442,324		
7					Other benefits (Property Pr	rotection etc)				
8										
9		C	Project Costs (\$)			Project benefits (\$)	0.1		Net Cash Flow	
20	Year		Running cost p.a.	Total	Resident Recreation	Tourist Recreation	Other	Total	\$	
21	0	18,351,000	0	18,351,000	0	0		0	-18,351,000	
2	1	0	943,241	943,241	418,902	23,422	0	442,324	-500,917	
23	2	0	0.10/0111	943,241	431,469	24,125	0	455,594	-487,647	
24	3	0	943,241	943,241	444,413	24,849	0	469,262	-473,980	
25	4	0	943,241	943,241	457,745	25,594	0	483,340	-459,902	
26	5	0	040,241	943,241	471,478	26,362	0	497,840	-445,402	
27	6	0	010,211	943,241	485,622	27,153	0	512,775	-430,466	
28	7	0		943,241	500,191	27,968	0	528,158	-415,083	
29	8	0		943,241	515,196	28,807	0	544,003	-399,238	
30	9	0		943,241	530,652	29,671	0	560,323	-382,918	
31	10	0	010,211	943,241	546,572	30,561	0	577,133	-366,109	
32	11	0	010,011	943,241	562,969	31,478	0	594,447	-348,795	
3	12	0		943,241	579,858	32,422	0	612,280	-330,961	
34	13	0		943,241	597,254	33,395	0	630,649	-312,593	
5	14	0	010,211	943,241	615,171	34,397	0	649,568	-293,673	
6	15	0	943,241	943,241	633,626	35,429	0	669,055	-274,186	
7	16	0	010,211	943,241	652,635	36,491	0	689,127	-254,115	
8	17	0		943,241	672,214	37,586	0	709,801	-233,441	
9	18	0	/	943,241	692,381	38,714	0	731,095	-212,147	
0	19			943,241	713,152	39,875	0	753,027	-190,214	
1	20	0	943,241	943,241	734,547	41,071	0	775,618	-167,623	
2			. 50/							
3		Net Present Valu		-23,044,262						
4		Net Present Value at 8% -22,193,393								
5		Net Present Valu	_	-21,758,849						
6		Internal Rate of F	Beturn	#NUM!						

Case 2: Wooli – Beach nourishment plus land swap option



WorleyParsons



Wooli Village

Coastline Management Strategy Update and Options Review

301020-02273



Source: former Dept. Natural Resources Estuary Inventory

Infrastructure & Environment 8-14 Telford Street Neucastie East, NSW 2300, Australia PO Box 568 NEWCASTLE NSW 2300 Telephone: +612 4407 5303 Telephone: +612 4407 5303 Facalinia: +612 4407 5333 www.wc/repairs.com ABN e1 03 1279 812 © Copyright 2010 Warkip^Amores



resources & energy

Table 6.1 Assessment Matrix

Options Review	Current Cost	Cost \$Millions (current)
Levee/ Revetment +	levee/ revetment and road raising	0.9
Beach Nourishment	initial maintenance nourishment by dredging Wooli Wooli River (30,000 m ³)	0.3
	maintenance nourishment by moving sand from northern end of Wooli Beach to northern end of original Wooli Village (70,000 m³/3yrs)	1.1/3yrs
	new water tower	0.5
	subdivide and provide services to school site and Wooli sportsground (say 55 lots)	3.3
	relocate public assets and private dwellings sth of bowling club	2.0
	(or property purchase at 29.1M)	
	(or property purchase at 29.1M)	

Page 54

.4	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	Н		
	Wooli E	Beach Nourishmer	nt Plus Land Swap Opti	on (Worley P	arsons, 2010): Benefit-C	ost Modelling				
2										
:		-	nent and Beach Nourish	ment					Notes	
		Project costs (Wor	rley Parsons, 2010):		Project benefits:					
		Capital			Total regional beach visits:	Local residents		1,801,728		
		Levee/Revetment & F	Road Raising	\$900,000	Total regional beach visits:	Visitors		643,260		
		Initial beach nourish	ment	\$300,000	Wooli beach visits: Local residents @ 5% of total 90,08					
		Subdivide school site	e and sportsground	\$3,300,000				1,802		
		Relocate public and j	private assets	\$2,500,000	Tourism growth p.a. (Real)			1.03		
		Total Initial Capit	al Costs:	\$7,000,000	Resident growth p.a.			1.03		
					Resident value for a beach	visit (CS values)		\$9.30	Fuel+Time (Fuel only = \$	\$6.10
T					Tourist value for beach visi			\$26		
					Resident recreation benefits			\$837,804		
		Maintenance			Tourism recreation benefits			\$46,845		
T		Nourishment every 3	vears	\$1,100,000	Proportion of recreation ben		ed)	0.50	Generous!	
t		Sub Total	/		Recreation benefits threater			\$442,324		
				¢.,	Other benefits (Property Pri					
_										
			Project Costs (\$)			Project benefits (\$)			Net Cash Flow	
ľ	Year	Capital	Running cost p.a.	Total	Resident Recreation	Tourist Recreation	Other	Total	\$	
h	0	7,000,000		7,000,000		0		0	-7,000,000	
t	1	0		0		23,422	0	442,324	442,324	
ľ	- 2	0		0		24,125	0	455,594	455,594	
ľ	3	0	1,100,000	1,100,000		24,849	0	469,262	-630,738	
ľ	4	0	1,100,000	0		25,594	0	483,340	483,340	
+	5	0		0		26,362	0	497,840	497,840	•
ľ	6	0	1,100.000	1,100,000		27,153	0	512,775	-587,225	
ľ	7	0	1,100,000	0		27,968	0	528,158	528,158	
t	8	0		0		28,807	0	544,003	544,003	
ľ	9	0	1,100,000	1,100,000		29,671	0	560,323	-539,677	
h	10	0	1,100,000	<u>, 1, 100,000</u> 0		30,561	0	577,133	577,133	
+	11	0		0		31,478	0	594,447	594,447	
-	12	0	1,100,000	1,100,000		32,422	0	612,280	-487,720	
t	13	0	1,100,000	1,100,000		33,395	0	630,649	630,649	•
h	14	0		0		34,397	0	649,568	649,568	• •
	14	0	1.100.000	1,100,000		35,429	0	669.055	-430,945	•
+	16	0	1,100,000	<u>, 100,000</u>		36,491	0	689,127	689,127	
t	17	0		0 0		37,586	0	709,801	709.801	
ľ	18	0	1,100,000	1,100,000		38,714	0	705,801	-368,905	
-	19	0	1,100,000	<u>, 100,000</u> 0		39,875	0	753,035	-368,303	
ł	20	0		0		41.071	0	775,618	75,618	
-	20	0		U	754,047	41,071	0	010,010	773,010	
		Net Present Value at	5%	-3,688,652						
_		Net Present Value at Net Present Value at		-3,688,652 -4,544,868						
		Net Present Value at	10/>	-5,103,048						-

Case 3: Grunters stair improvement

e.g. Proposal to build access stairs – as part of larger site plan Estimate costs vs benefits for the project and calculate net present value (NPV).

Project Costs:

- Capital cost = \$101,965 plus 5% maintenance per year.
- 10 year life expectancy

Benefits (estimated):

• Project provides beach access to net additional 25 users per week (10 locals, 15 visitors).

Sconar	Scenario 1: Grunters Beach Access Improvement Project									
	Project costs:	Deach Access impro		Project benefits:				Notes		
	Capital cost (\$)			Total net additional beach	visite per weeks Legel rec	idanta	10			
	•	anas as 0/ of easital			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	sidents		Field estimate 201		
	Annual Mainten	ance as % of capital	0.05	Total net additional beach		a la la seta		Field estimate 201		
				Total net additional beach	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		520			
	I			Total net additional beach			780			
				Tourism growth p.a. (Real))			Check growth rate		
				Resident growth p.a.				Check growth rate		
				Resident value for a beach			\$12.21			
				Day visitor value for beach			\$22.00			
				Resident recreation benef			\$6,349			
				Tourism recreation benefit	ts p.a.		\$17,160			
	Project Costs (\$)			Project benefits (\$)				Net Cash Flow		
Year		aintenance cost p.a.	Total	Resident Recreation	Tourist Recreation	Other	Total	\$		
0	101,965	0	101,965	0	0		0	-101,965		
1	0	5,241	5,241	6,349	17,160		23,509	18,268		
2	0	5,241	5,241	6,540	17,675		24,214	18,973		
3	0	5,241	5,241	6,736	18,205		24,941	19,700		
4	0	5,241	5,241	6,938	18,751		25,689	20,448		
5	0	5,241	5,241	7,146	19,314		26,460	21,219		
6	0	5,241	5,241	7,360	19,893		27,254	22,013		
7	0	5,241	5,241	7,581	20,490		28,071	22,830		
8	0	5,241	5,241	7,809	21,105		28,913	23,672		
9	0	5,241	5,241	8,043	21,738		29,781	24,540		
10	0	5,241	5,241	8,284	22,390		30,674	25,433		
	Net Present Val	ue at 4%	72,041							
	Net Present Value at 7% 47,430									
	Net Present Val	ue at 10%	27,663							
	Internal Rate of Return 15.62%									
Note 1:	lote 1: This analysis uses the lowest available estimate for resident & visitor recreation benefit (i.e. \$22 per adult for a day visitor)									

Access Project Cost-Benefit results:

- NPV of project is positive (@ 7% discount rate)
- Internal Rate of Return (IRR) = 15.6%
- Sensitive to assumptions / estimates
- Very conservative figures used
- Estimate 650 visits
- Conduct sensitivity analysis what if costs are 25% higher than estimated?
- Need to compare with other use of funds
- But looks like a worthwhile project!

Case 4: Sunshine Coast Beach Nourishment

- Alexandra Headland Maroochydore heavily eroded
- Sand available in the mouth of the Maroochy River close and cheap
- Council plans to carry out sand renourishment over two operations, dredging and placing 125,000m³ of sand in 2013 and 75,000m³ in 2015 at a cost of \$1.4M and \$1M respectively.
- Is it worthwhile? Need to know value of beach width at the location





Estimating gross resident beach recreation values for Sunshine Coast (using 84 visits per year avg.)

	Consumer Surplus Per Adult Per Visit	Annual Gross Consumer Surplus LGA
Fuel only model		
	\$3.26	\$69,657,911
Fuel only plus time @ 40% of		
hourly rate	\$9.24	\$197,149,901

From SLSA and Tourism Research Australia (TRA) data, approximately 15-20% of visits are to the Alex-Maroochydore stretch of coastline

Suggests an annual value from residents alone of at least **\$10.5 million**

Case 5: North Avalon Beach

- Regrade the foredune
- Restoring grassed recreation area covered by sand blowouts
- Change accessway to reduce blowouts
- Stabilise dunes
- Install viewing platform



North Avalon

- Project costs well defined \$106,168
- Project benefits less so
- Some key knowledge gaps
- How many people visit the beach
- The value of these visits
- How they'll be affected by the project
- How long the project will last
- What recreation-relevant changes will occur?

What will the project do?

- Improve beach reserve
- Increase visual access to the beach
- Largely of benefit to surfers, beach users go regardless
- Possibly narrow the beach but make it appear more natural

Translating into economic terms

- Nordstrom (2001) surveyed high school students and found they preferred natural dune systems to artificial beaches
- BASTRA project identified ability to see the beach from the carpark as a component that influenced beach visitation

What is the minimum benefit needed?

- Avalon gets around 67000 visitors p.a. (SLSA)
- Using the Collaroy-Narrabeen estimates of the value of a beach day, these visits are worth approximately \$690k p.a.
- Assuming the works last 20 years, only need a 1.1% increase in benefit for the project to be economically viable
- Doesn't include expenditure, only non-market

Economic/management questions

- What do you see as the biggest challenge for your beach?
- Is economics useful in answering these questions?
- What economic questions would you like answered?
- If you've employed economics before, what was done well and what could be done better?

Mike Raybould: mraybould@bond.edu.au

Project info and survey: <u>www.mybeachmysay.com</u>





Australian Government

Fisheries Research and Development Corporation